

## Núm. 34 (2018)

### Tabla de contenidos

#### Artículos de carácter científico: trabajos de investigaciones básicas y/o aplicadas

La parentalidad transformacional se relaciona positivamente con el autoconcepto físico de jóvenes adolescentes (Transformational parenting style is positively related with physical self-concept during early adolescence)

Manuel Jesús De la Torre Cruz, Alberto Ruiz-Ariza, Sebastián López-Serrano, Emilio José Martínez-López

Educación e higiene postural en el ámbito de la Educación Física. Papel del maestro en la prevención de lesiones. Revisión sistemática (Education and postural hygiene in the field of physical education. Teacher's role in injury prevention. Systematic rev

Fátima Chacón Borrego, Jose Luis Ubago-Jimenez, Juan José La Guardia García, Rosario Padial Ruiz, Mar Cepero González

Incidencia de los juegos cooperativos en el autoconcepto físico de escolares de educación primaria (Impact of cooperative games on physical self-concept in elementary school students)

Ruben Navarro-Paton, Borja Rego Ferreira, Manuel García García

Procesos psicosociales en Educación Física: actitudes, estrategias y clima motivacional percibido (Psychosocial processes in Physical Education: attitudes, strategies, and perceived motivational climate)

Ana Cabello Moyano, Manuel Moyano Pacheco, Carmen Tabernero Urbieto

Didáctica de la Motricidad en la formación de profesores de educación infantil (Didactics of Motricity in early childhood education teachers training)

Pamela Francisca Rodríguez Aceituno, Fuensanta Hernández Pina

Metodología para el perfeccionamiento del proceso de enseñanza-aprendizaje de los elementos técnicos-tácticos en los deportes de combate (Methodology for improving the teaching-learning process of technical-tactical elements in combat sports)

Lisbet Guillen Pereira, Manuel Copello Janjaque, Manuel Gutierrez Cruz, José Ramón Guerra Santiesteban

Percepción de la imagen corporal de los adolescentes de Huelva atendiendo al género y a la edad (Body image perception by gender and age in adolescents of Huelva)

Manuel Javier Arrayás Grajera, Inmaculada Tórner Quiñones, Martín Salvador Díaz Bento

Beneficios y barreras identificadas por las presas que practican actividad física: Una revisión cualitativa de la literatura (Benefits and barriers identified by incarcerated women who practice physical activity: A qualitative literature review)

Nagore Martínez Merino, Nerian Martín González, Oidui Usabiaga, Daniel Martos García

Modelo explicativo sobre trayectorias vitales y desarrollo vocacional en deportistas de alto nivel (Explanatory model on personal path and vocational development in high level athletes)

Pedro Ricardo Álvarez-Pérez, David López-Aguilar

Diseño, validación y fiabilidad de un instrumento para analizar acciones técnico-tácticas en waterpolo (Design, validation, and reliability of an instrument to analyze technical-tactical actions in water polo)

Yurema Sabio Lago, Myriam Guerra Balic, Josep Solà Santesmases, Josep Cabedo Sanromà

Comparación de la percepción subjetiva del esfuerzo entre partidos amistosos y diferentes tipos de sesión en futbolistas profesionales (Comparison of perceived exertion in friendly matches and different types of training sessions in professional soccer p

Miguel Ángel Campos Vázquez, Francisco Javier Toscano Belanda

Factores de riesgo en adicciones y su relación con actividades deportivas y recreativas (Addiction risk factors and their relationship with sports and recreational activities)

Mario I Ortiz, Mariana Ortiz-Márquez

Propiedades psicométricas del Behavioral Regulation in Exercise Questionnaire-2 en universitarios mexicanos (Factor composition of the Behavioral Regulation in Exercise Questionnaire-2 in Mexican university students)

Ana Citlalli Díaz-Leal, Luis Humberto Blanco Ornelas, Zuliana Paola Benítez Hernández, Susana Ivonne Aguirre Vásquez, Ramón Candia Luján

Relación entre actividad física, alimentación y familia en edad escolar (Relationship between physical activity, nutrition, and family in school-aged youth)

Estefanía Castillo Viera, Inmaculada Tórnero Quiñones, José Andrés García Araujo

Confiabilidad y validez del cuestionario de trastornos de sueño BEARS en niños y adolescentes escolares de Bogotá, D.C., Colombia: Estudio FUPRECOL (Reliability and Validity of the BEARS Sleep Disorder Questionnaire in Children and Adolescent Students fr

Robinson Ramírez-Vélez, Libardo Huertas-Zamora, Jorge Enrique Correa-Bautista, Edgar Giovanni Cárdenas-Calderón

Temporal consistencies in two champion teams of European football? (¿Regularidades temporales en dos campeones del fútbol europeo?)

Miguel Pic Aguilar

Somatotipo en futbolistas mexicanos profesionales de diferente nivel competitivo (Somatotype of Mexican soccer players from different competition level)

Uriel Zuñiga Galaviz, Arturo Osorio Gutiérrez, Ivan de Jesús Toledo Domínguez, Roberto Herrera Perea

Caracterización del socorrista acuático en alumnos de educación primaria (Characterization of lifeguard in elementary students)

Zaida María Ruiz-Fernández, J. Arturo Abrahams

Evaluación de un programa de actividad física mediante juegos populares en escolares de Educación Primaria (Evaluation of a physical activity programme through popular games in Primary School students)

Yago Ríos Liz, Rubén Navarro Patón, Víctor Arufe Giráldez, José Antonio Pérez Turpín

Factorial invariance of the Physical Self-Concept Scale in Mexican students (Invarianza factorial de la escala de autoconcepto físico en hombres y mujeres estudiantes universitarios)

Elia Verónica Benavides Pando, José René Blanco Ornelas, Jesús Enrique Peinado Pérez, Julio César Guedea Delgado, Martha Ornelas Contreras

Factores motivacionales relacionados con la intención de seguir practicando ejercicio físico en mujeres adultas (Motivational factors related to the intention to continue practicing exercise in adult women)

Juan Pablo Bermejo, Bartolomé Jesús Almagro, José Antonio Rebollo

Grupos de usuarias del mercado deportivo femenino (Groups of users in the women sports market)

Mónica Haro-González, Raquel Pérez-Ordas, Marta Leyton-Román, Alicia Caamaño-Guadix, Alberto Nuviola

Prediction of body fat through body adiposity index and bioelectrical impedance analysis in a sample of physically active Mexican students (Predicción de grasa corporal mediante el índice de adiposidad corporal y análisis de impedancia bioeléctrica en un

Paulina Yesica Ochoa-Martínez, Javier Arturo Hall-López, Ileana Solano-Pineda, Luis Roberto Monreal Ortiz, Yamileth Chacón-Araya, José Moncada-Jiménez

Validación de un cuestionario para medir el Conocimiento Didáctico del Contenido en el profesorado de Educación Física (Validation of a questionnaire to measure Didactic Knowledge of Content in Physical Education teachers)

Alejandro Almonacid-Fierro, Sebastian Feu, Manuel Vízquete Carrizosa

Expectativas y beneficios percibidos del ejercicio hipopresivo por mujeres: una experiencia práctica (Women's expectations and perceived benefits of hypopressive exercise: a practical experience)

Valeria Tracogna, Tamara Rial Rebullido

Análise de lesões musculoesqueléticas em praticantes de musculação e corrida (Análisis de lesión musculoesquelética em practicantes de musculación y de las carreras) (Analysis of musculoskeletal injuries in practitioners of weight training and racing)

Flavio Boechat de Oliveira, Wallin do Couto Conceição, Rafael Barreto, Igor Carvalho, Giovane Moraes de L. Ribeiro, Rodrigo Gomes de Souza Vale

Características antropométricas y de rendimiento físico en futbolistas sub-11 según el nivel competitivo (Anthropometric and fitness performance characteristics in under-11 football players by competitive level)

Víctor Torreblanca-Martínez, Alejandro Arráez, Fernando M. Otero-Saborido, José A. González-Jurado

¿Existe sesgo de género en los estudios de ciencias de la actividad física y el deporte? (Is there a gender bias in study programs in sciences of physical activity and sport?)

Beatriz Garay Ibañez de Elejalde, Eider Elcoroaristizabal, María Teresa Vizcarra Morales, Maria Prat Grau, Pedrona Serra Payeras, Susanna Soler Prat

Entrenamiento y confiabilidad entre observadores en el análisis del fútbol para ciegos (Reliability and inter-coders training in the analysis of football for blind persons)

José Martín Gamonales Puerto, Jesús Muñoz Jiménez, Kiko León Guzmán, Sergio José Ibáñez Godoy

Análisis de variables motivacionales y de estilos de vida saludables en practicantes de ejercicio físico en centros deportivos en función del género (Analysis of motivational variables and healthy lifestyles in sports center practitioners by gender)

Marta Leytón Román, Judiht García Matador, Juan Pedro Fuentes García, Rhut Jiménez Castuera

Perfil socioeducativo y económico de deportistas adolescentes de élite argentinos (Socio-educational and economic profile of Argentinean elite adolescent athletes)

Martin Gustavo Farinola, Ianina Tuñón, Fernando Laíño, Mario Marchesich, Martín Pérez Rodríguez



## Factorial invariance of the physical self-concept scale on men and women Mexican university students

### Invarianza factorial de la escala de autoconcepto físico en hombres y mujeres estudiantes universitarios

Elia Verónica Benavides Pando, José René Blanco Ornelas, Jesús Enrique Peinado Pérez, Julio César Guedea Delgado, Martha Ornelas Contreras

Universidad Autónoma de Chihuahua (México)

**Abstract.** The present study analyzes the psychometric properties proposed by Blanco, Blanco, Vicianá, and Zueck (2015) for the physical self-concept scale (CAF). The total sample was of 1,500 Mexican university students, with a mean age of 20.69 years ( $\pm$  SD = 2.33). Confirmatory factorial analyses showed that a two factor structure is viable and adequate for both populations (men and women). The structure of two factors (motor competence and physical attractiveness), according to statistical and substantive criteria, has shown adequate indicators of reliability and validity adjustment. In addition, the factorial structure, factor loads and intercepts are considered invariant in the two populations studied; however, there are differences between populations for the factor means. Further research should replicate these findings in larger samples.

**Key words:** measurement invariance, factorial structure, construct validation, multi-sample confirmatory factor analysis.

**Resumen.** El presente estudio analiza las propiedades psicométricas propuestos por Blanco, Blanco, Vicianá y Zueck (2015) para la escala de autoconcepto físico (CAF). La muestra total fue de 1500 universitarios mexicanos, con una edad media de 20.69 años ( $\pm$  DE=2.33). Los análisis factoriales confirmatorios mostraron que una estructura de dos factores es viable y adecuada para ambas poblaciones (hombres y mujeres). La estructura de dos factores (competencia motora y atractivo físico), atendiendo a criterios estadísticos y sustantivos, ha mostrado adecuados indicadores de ajuste de fiabilidad y validez. Además, la estructura factorial, las cargas factoriales y los interceptos se consideran invariantes en las dos poblaciones estudiadas; sin embargo, existen diferencias entre las poblaciones para las medias de los factores. Futuras investigaciones deberían replicar estos hallazgos en muestras más amplias.

**Palabras clave:** invarianza de medida, estructura factorial, validación de constructo, análisis factorial confirmatorio multimuestra.

## Introduction

Self-concept plays a crucial and central role in the development of personality, as noted in the main psychological theories; a positive self-concept is the basis of good personal, social and professional functioning, depending on it, largely, the personal satisfaction, and feeling good about yourself. In particular, physical self-concept proves to be a good indicator of mental health and adjustment with life (Goñi, 2009, Goñi & Infante, 2010; Linares-Manrique et al., 2016; Olmedilla, Ortega-Toro, & Abenza, 2016; Reigal, Videra, Parra & Juárez, 2012) since feeling comfortable with our body helps to generate positive feelings.

Therefore achieving a positive self-concept is one of the most pursued objectives in numerous psychological intervention programs (educational, clinical, community, civic...) for which are demanded strategies and resources for its improvement (Esnaola, Goñi & Madariaga, 2008).

For many years, self-concept has been considered as a one-dimensional and global construct (González, 2005). However, since the last decades self-concept has been accepted as a multidimensional and hierarchical construct, according to which the general self-concept would be at the top, encompassing academic self-concept and non-academic self-concept (Shavelson, Hubner & Stanton, 1976). Non-academic self-concept would in turn encompass personal, social, and physical self-concept (Shavelson, et al., 1976). The four previous domains would also be divided into more specificity dimensions (González, 2005).

Physical self-concept is considered one of the most important markers of people's well-being (Klesges, Haddock, Stein, Klesges & Eck, 1992; Menéndez & Fernández-Río, 2017; Navas & Soriano, 2016). Although today the multidimensional nature of physical self-concept is widely accepted (González, 2005), there is still no conformation about what the dimensions of this construct are (Blanco, et al., 2015, Fox and Corbin 1989, Marsh, Richards, Johnson, Roche & Tremayne, 1994). The four-dimensional model has generally been the most accepted (González, 2005). Fox and Corbin (1989) first proposed a multidimensional physical self-concept model that comprised four dimensions: sports competence, physical fitness, physical attractiveness and strength, giving rise to the *Physical Self-Perception Profile* (PSPP)

questionnaire. Later, Goñi, Ruiz de Azúa & Rodríguez (2006), based on the four-dimensional model of Fox & Corbin (1989), redefined sports competition as a physical ability. From this work they obtained the Physical Self-Concept Questionnaire (CAF).

However, one of the main problems of the questionnaires that follow this model is the discriminant validity, due to the presence of a high correlation between the dimensions that compose it (Marsh, et al., 1994; Navas, Soriano & Holgado, 2013). Recently, based on the CAF, Blanco et al. (2015) evaluated the psychometric properties of this instrument in the population of Mexican university students. These authors found a two-dimensional model (motor competence and physical attractiveness), renaming it the modified CAF (CAF-M). In addition to the factorial structure of an instrument, it is necessary to evaluate whether the same factor structure is applicable to different populations (Abalo, Lévy, Rial & Varela, 2006), being gender one of the main personal differences that could influence physical self-concept (Fernández, Contreras, González & Abellán, 2011). Consequently, the objective of the present study was to examine the factorial invariance of CAF-M in men and women Mexican university students.

The present study concerns not only the factorial structure of the instrument, but also the psychometric equivalence of it different groups, since in the context of intergroup comparison, it is essential to consider the need to carry out the adaptation of an instrument of psychological measurement that meets all criteria of equivalence, but above all, consider whether the same factorial structure is applicable to different groups of subjects or, more generically, to different populations (Abalo, et al., 2006).

## Methods

### Participants

The sample of 1,500 college students 758 women and 742 men, was obtained by sampling for convenience, trying to cover the representation of the different degrees offered in a public university of northern Mexico (Autonomous University of Chihuahua, Faculty of Sciences of Physical Culture). The participants' age ranged from 18 to 36 years, with a mean of 20.69 and a standard deviation of 2.33 years.

### Measure

Self-concept Physical Questionnaire (CAF-M) by Goñi et al. (2006) modified by Blanco et al. (2015), which consists of 12 items that are

grouped into two dimensions or subscales: motor competency (siete items,  $\alpha=.91$ ) and physical attractiveness (cinco items,  $\alpha=.89$ ) that are answered according to a Likert scale of 0 to 4 points (figure 1).

Figure 1. Sample response for questionnaire items.

### Procedure

Students of the degrees offered at this public university of northern Mexico. Those who agreed to participate signed the consent letter. Then, the instrument described above was applied using a personal computer (administrator module of the instrument of the scales editor of typical execution), in a session of about 20 minutes in the computer labs of the FCCF. At the beginning of each session students were given a brief introduction on the importance of the study and how to access the instrument; they were asked the utmost sincerity and they were guaranteed the confidentiality of the data obtained. Instructions on how to respond were in the first screens; before the first instrument item. At the end of the session they were thanked for their participation. Once the instrument was applied, data was collected by the results generator module of scales editor, version 2.0 (Blanco, et al., 2013).

### Data Analyses

The psychometrical analysis was applied in two stages: 1) Factorial Confirmatory Analysis and 2) Invariance Factorial Analysis; so that it could obtain evidence that presents the best properties for the confirmation of the physical self-concept questionnaire (CAF-M) scores in women and men Mexican university students.

A confirmatory factor analysis was conducted for the first subsample using the software AMOS 21 (Arbuckle, 2012). The error variances were specified as free parameters. In each latent variable (factor) one of the structural coefficients associated was fixed to the value of one in order to make its scale equal to one of the observed variables (items). The maximum likelihood estimation method, following Thompson's (2004) recommendations, was conducted to compare the fit indices of several alternative models to select the best one.

In the fit model assessment, the chi-squared test, the adjusted goodness of fit index (GFI), and the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) were used as absolute fit indices. The adjusted goodness-of-fit index (AGFI), the Tucker-Lewis index (TLI) and the comparative fit index (CFI) were used as incremental fit indices. Chi-squared divided by degrees of freedom (CMIN/df), and the Akaike information criterion (AIC) were used as parsimony fit indices (Byrne, 2010; Gelabert, et al., 2011).

Lastly, a factor invariance analysis of the better model obtained was conducted, following the recommendations of Abalo et al. (2006), the reliability of each of the dimensions was calculated using the Cronbach's alpha and the omega coefficient (Revelle & Zinbarg, 2009; Sjtsma, 2009).

## Results

### Confirmatory Factor Analysis

According to the results obtained in Table 1 in the Confirmatory

Factorial Analysis of 12 items grouped in two factors in the sample of women is optimal (GFI .960 y RMSEA .060) and according to the incremental fit measures and Parsimony significantly higher to the independent model and very similar to the saturated model.

Furthermore, the confirmatory factor analysis on men's sample (Table 1) shows again that the measuring model of two factors is optimal (GFI .961 y RMSEA .060) and according to the incremental fit measures and Parsimony significantly higher to the independent model and very similar to the saturated model.

According to the results of Table 2, in both samples, most items saturate above .60 in their predicted dimension (factor), which makes evident an appropriate convergent validity. Also observed moderate intercorrelations among the factors demonstrating adequate discriminant validity between them.

### Invariance of the factorial structure among women and men

The fit indexes obtained (Table 3) allow to accept the equivalence of the basic measuring models between the two samples. Although the value of Chi-squared exceeds to that required to accept the hypothesis of invariance, the indexes GFI=.961, CFI=.971, RMSEA=.042 y AIC=478.465 contradict this conclusion, this allows us to accept the base model of invariance (model without restrictions).

Adding to the base model constraints on factor loads we characterize the metric invariance. The values shown in Table 3 allow us to accept this level of invariance. The goodness of fit index (GFI .954) and the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA .044) continue to provide convergent information in this direction. Also, the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC 515.288) and Bentler comparative fit index (CFI .965) do not suffer large variations over the previous model. Using the criteria for the evaluation of the nested models proposed by Cheung & Rensvold (2002), who suggest that if the calculation of the difference

Table 1. Absolute, Incremental and Parsimony Fit Indexes for the Generated Models. Confirmatory Factor Analysis for Women and Men.

Model	Absolute Fit indexes			Incremental Fit indexes			Incremental Fit indexes
	$\chi^2$	GFI	RMSEA	AGFI	TLI	CFI	CMIN/DF
Factor solution for women							
Two factors	179.684*	.960	.060	.937	.965	.974	3.667
Saturated	0.000	1.000				1.000	
Independence	5133.224*	.310	.322	.185	.000	.000	77.776
Factor solution for men							
Two factors	182.781*	.961	.060	.938	.955	.967	3.730
Saturated	0.000	1.000				1.000	
Independence	4096.161*	.342	.284	.222	.000	.000	62.063

Note: \*  $p < .05$ ; GFI = goodness-of-fit index; RMSEA = root mean square error of approximation; AGFI = adjusted goodness-of-fit index; TLI = Tucker-Lewis index; CFI = comparative fit index; CMIN/df = chi-squared fit index divided by degrees of freedom; AIC = Akaike information criterion

Table 2. Standardized Solutions for the Confirmatory Factor Analysis in Both Samples

Item	Women		Men	
	F1	F2	F1	F2
Factor Loading				
1. I'm good at sports	.69		.56	
2. I have a lot of physical strength	.72		.64	
5. I have more ability than people of my age to play sports	.70		.61	
6. I can run and exercise for a long time without getting tired	.71		.74	
8. I stand out in activities that require physical strength	.74		.63	
10. Playing sports I'm a skillful person	.78		.68	
11. I have a lot of physical energy	.76		.80	
3. I feel happy with my body image		.83		.69
4. Physically I feel satisfied with myself		.82		.73
7. I feel confident about the physical image I transmit		.86		.83
9. My body transmits me positive feelings		.79		.77
12. I like my face and my body		.68		.59
Factor Correlation Matrix				
	F1	F2	F1	F2
	-		-	
	.49	-	.70	-

Note: F1 = Motor Competency F2 = Physical Attractiveness

Table 3. Goodness of Fit Indexes of Each of the Models Tested in the Factorial Invariance

Model	Fit indexes					
	$\chi^2$	df	GFI	NFI	CFI	RMSEA
Model without restrictions	362.465*	98	.961	.961	.971	.042
Metric Invariance	419.288*	108	.954	.955	.965	.044
Strong factor invariance	524.895*	111	.943	.943	.956	.050

Note: \*  $p < .05$ ; GFI = comparative fit index; NFI = normed fit index; CFI = comparative fit index; RMSEA = root mean square error of approximation; AIC = Akaike information criterion

Table 4. Omega and Alpha Coefficients of Each of the Obtained Factors

Factor	Women		Men	
	$\Omega$	$\alpha$	$\Omega$	$\alpha$
1. Motor competency	.888	.894	.849	.854
2. Physical attractiveness	.897	.899	.847	.853



of the CFI of both nested models diminish in .01 or less, the restricted model is taken for granted therefore the compliance of the factorial invariance. The difference of the CFIs obtained allows to accept the metrical invariance model. We can conclude up to this point that factorial loads are equivalent in the two samples.

Having demonstrated the metric invariance between the subsamples, we evaluate the equivalence between intercepts (strong factorial invariance). The Indexes (Table 3) show an optimal fit of this model, evaluated independently as well as analyzed toward nesting with the metric invariance model. The difference between the two comparative indices of Bentler is .009; the general fit index is .943 and the root mean square error of approximation is .050. Accepted then the strong invariance, the two evaluated models are equivalent toward the factorial coefficients and the intercepts.

The factors obtained in the confirmatory factorial analyzes reached, in most cases, values of internal consistency above .70 in both samples (men and women); Showing an adequate internal consistency for this type of subscales, particularly considering the reduced number of items (Table 4).

### **Contrasts of the means of the factors among women and men**

Once proved the factorial invariance, the differences among the means of the factors from the two groups were estimated taking as a reference the women's sample, establishing 0 as the value of the means for this sample, considering freely the value of the means for the sample of men. Restrictions about regression coefficients and intercepts required for the contrast among the means were made automatically through the software AMOS 21 (Arbuckle, 2012). The results of the comparisons indicated that the means of motor competency and physical attractiveness factors were significantly higher .816,  $p < 0.001$  and .294,  $p < 0.001$  respectively) in men.

### **Discussion and conclusions**

From the results shown, their analysis and discussion, and taking into account that the main objective of this study was to examine the factorial structure and measure the invariance of the structure in women and men Mexican university students, can be obtained the following conclusions:

1) The Confirmatory Factor Analysis, in both samples, indicated that the adjustment of the data to the theoretical model of 12 items grouped in two factors proposed by Blanco et al. (2015) is optimal. At the same time that the factors thus obtained present adequate standardized factorial saturations. On the other hand, in general, the factors correlate with each other positively and statistically significant, which shows that as physical self-concept increases in one of the factors, it also increases in the other.

2) The factors in both samples showed adequate internal consistency, particularly considering the reduced number of items in each of them.

3) Together with all of the above, the results of the factorial invariance analysis between men and women; Indicate a high congruence between pairs of factors. This suggests the existence of strong evidence of cross-validation of the measure and therefore of the stability of the structure, until it is proved otherwise.

4) Comparisons between groups showed significant differences, in favor to men, in the mean of the two factors. What seems to indicate that men are perceived themselves with better physical self-concept than women in relation to factors motor competence and physical attractiveness.

In summary, the analysis of psychometric properties has shown that a two-factor structure is feasible and appropriate according to the established psychometric requirements. The structure of two factors, according to statistical and substantive criteria, has shown adequate indicators of adjustment, reliability and validity. However, it is considered that more studies are necessary in order to corroborate or refute the data obtained in the present investigation.

At least two limitations are present in this work. The first is that participants are only Mexican university students, which threatens the possibility of generalizing these results. Expand the sample (for example adding young adults who are not students) is a work area for the future. The second limitation comes from the measuring instrument itself, which is based on self-inform and therefore may contain biases that result from social desirability.

### **References**

- Abalo, J., Lévy, J., Rial, A. & Varela, J. (2006). Invarianza factorial con muestras múltiples. En J. Lévy (Ed.), *Modelización con Estructuras de Covarianzas en Ciencias Sociales* (pp. 259-278). Madrid: Netbiblo.
- Arbuckle, J. R. (2012). *AMOS users guide version 21.0*. Chicago, IL: Marketing Department, SPSS Incorporated.
- Blanco, H., Ornelas, M., Tristán, J. L., Cocca, A., Mayorga-Vega, D., López-Walle, J. & Vician, J. (2013). Editor for creating and applying computerise surveys. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 106, pp. 935-940. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.12.105>
- Blanco, J. R., Blanco, H., Vician, J. & Zueck, M. C. (2015). Psychometric properties of the physical self-concept questionnaire with mexican university students. *Psychological Reports*, 116(2), 422-437. doi: 10.2466/03.07.PR0.116k18w2
- Byrne, B. M. (2010). *Structural Equation Modeling With AMOS: Basic Concepts, Applications, and Programming*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Cheung, G. W. & Rensvold, R. B. (2002). Evaluating goodness-of-fit indexes for testing measurement invariance. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 9(2), pp. 233-255. doi: 10.1207/s15328007SEM0902\_5
- Esnaola, I., Goñi, A. & Madariaga, J. M. (2008). El autoconcepto: perspectivas de investigación. *Revista de Psicodidáctica*, 13(1), pp. 69-96.
- Fernández, J. G., Contreras, O. R., González, I. & Abellán, J. (2011). El autoconcepto físico en educación secundaria. Diferencias en función del género y la edad. *Revista Galego-Portuguesa de Psicoloxía e Educación*, 19(1), pp. 199-212.
- Fox, K. R. & Corbin, C. B. (1989). The Physical Self-Perception Profile: development and preliminary validation. *Journal of Sports & Exercise Psychology*, 11, 408-430.
- Gelabert, E., García-Esteve, L., Martín-Santos, R., Gutiérrez, F., Torres, A. & Subirà, S. (2011). Psychometric properties of the Spanish version of the Frost Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale in women. *Psicothema*, 23(1), pp. 133-139.
- González, O. (2005). Estructura multidimensional del autoconcepto físico. *Revista de Psicodidáctica*, 10(1), pp. 121-129.
- Goñi, A. (2009). *El autoconcepto físico: Psicología y educación*. Madrid: Pirámide.
- Goñi, A., Ruiz de Azúa, S. & Rodríguez, A. (2006). *Cuestionario de Autoconcepto Físico Manual*. Madrid: EOS.
- Goñi, E. & Infante, G. (2010). Actividad físico-deportiva, autoconcepto físico y satisfacción con la vida. *European Journal of Education and Psychology*, 3(2), pp. 199-208.
- Klesges, R. C., Haddock, C. K., Stein, R. J., Klesges, L. M. & Eck, L. (1992). Relationship between psychosocial functioning and body fat in preschool children: A longitudinal investigation. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 60(5), pp. 793-796. doi: 10.1037/0022-006X.60.5.793
- Linares-Manrique, M., Linares-Girela, D., Schmidt-Rio-Valle, J., Mato-Medina, O., Fernández-García, R., & Cruz-Quintana, F. (2016). Relación entre autoconcepto físico, ansiedad e IMC en estudiantes universitarios mexicanos. *Revista Internacional de Medicina y Ciencias de la Actividad Física y del Deporte*, 16(62), 497-519. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.15366/rimcafd2016.63.007>
- Marsh, H. W., Richards, G. E., Johnson, S., Roche, L. & Tremayne, P. (1994). Physical Self-Description Questionnaire: psychometric properties and a multitrait-multimethod analysis of relation to existing instruments. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, 16(3), pp. 270-305.
- Menéndez, J. I., & Fernández-Río, J. (2017). Responsabilidad social, necesidades psicológicas básicas, motivación intrínseca y metas de amistad en educación física. *Retos*(32), 134-139.
- Navas, L., Soriano, J. A. & Holgado, F. P. (2013). Cuestionario de Autoconcepto Físico (CAF) en una muestra de estudiantes chilenos. *Electronic Journal of Research in Educational Psychology*, 11(31), pp. 809-830.
- Navas, L., & Soriano, J. A. (2016). Análisis de los motivos para practicar o no actividades físicas extracurriculares y su relación con el autoconcepto físico en estudiantes chilenos. *Revista Iberoamericana de Psicología del Ejercicio y del Deporte*, 11(1), 69-76.
- Olmedilla, A., Ortega-Toro, E., & Azenza, L. (2016). Self-concept, sport, and physical activity practice in university students. *Journal of Human Sport and Exercise*, 11(4), 415-425. doi: 10.14198/jhse.2016.114.02
- Reigal, R., Videra, A., Parra, J. L. & Juárez, R. (2012). Actividad físico deportiva, autoconcepto físico y bienestar psicológico en la adolescencia. *Retos. Nuevas tendencias en Educación Física, Deporte y Recreación*, 22, pp. 19-23.
- Revelle, W. & Zinbarg, R. E. (2009). Coefficients alpha, beta, omega and the glb: comments on Sijsma. *Psychometrika*, 74(1), pp. 145-154. doi: 10.1007/s11336-008-9102-z
- Shavelson, R. J., Hubner, J. J. & Stanton, G. C. (1976). Self concept: Validation of construct interpretations. *Review of Educational Research*, 46, pp. 407-441.
- Sijsma, K. (2009). On the use, the misuse, and the very limited usefulness of Cronbach's alpha. *Psychometrika*, 74(1), pp. 107-120. doi: 10.1007/s11336-008-9101-0
- Thompson, B. (2004). *Exploratory and Confirmatory Factor Analysis. Understanding concepts and applications*. Washington, D.C: American Psychological Association.