

Vol. 3, Issue Number 1, February 2015

[01] **Perceived Self-Efficacy in Teamwork and Entrepreneurship in University Students. A Gender Study**

Views **12** since Jan. 14, 2015 Downloads **6** since Jan. 14, 2015

Jesús Enrique Peinado Pérez, María del Carmen Zueck Enríquez, Gabriel Gastélum Cuadras, Yunuen Rangel Ledezma, Humberto Blanco Vega

Pages: 1-5 | [Full PDF Paper](#) | [Paper in Html](#)

DOI: 10.11648/j.sjedu.20150301.11

[02] **Self-Efficacy Perceived in Academic Behaviors in University Students of 'Health' and 'Social' Sciences**

Views **17** since Jan. 14, 2015 Downloads **10** since Jan. 14, 2015

Yunuen Rangel Ledezma, Humberto Blanco Vega, Judith Margarita Rodríguez-Villalobos, Isabel Castillo Fernández, Jeanette López-Walle

Pages: 6-10 | [Full PDF Paper](#) | [Paper in Html](#)

DOI: 10.11648/j.sjedu.20150301.12

[03] **Formation of Competence of the Future Specialist Radio Engineering Profile**

Views **30** since Jan. 21, 2015 Downloads **3** since Jan. 21, 2015

Lyudmila Martsevo Andreevna

Pages: 11-15 | [Full PDF Paper](#) | [Paper in Html](#)

DOI: 10.11648/j.sjedu.20150301.13

Abstracting and Indexing

Science Journal of Public Health has been included by the following Abstracting and Indexing databases:

WorldCat

WorldCat is the world's largest network of library content and services. WorldCat libraries are dedicated to providing access to their resources on the Web, where most people start their search for information.

Academickeys

AcademicKeys.com is the premier source for academic employment. Academickeys' 17 discipline-focused sites offer comprehensive information about faculty, educational resources, research interests, and professional activities pertinent to institutions of higher education. More than 89% of the top 120 universities (as ranked by US News and World Report) are posting their available higher ed jobs with AcademicKeys.com.

Researchbib

ResearchBib, which is providing a global and local service for researcher, is a free academic resource publishing system that is flexible, easy to use.

Directory of Research Journals Indexing

The Directory of Research Journal Indexing (DRJI) is to increase the visibility and ease of use of open access scientific and scholarly journals thereby promoting their increased usage and impact. DRJI supply champion has access to global-renowned content in all discipline areas including magazine and journal articles.

JournalSeek

Genamics JournalSeek is the largest completely categorized database of freely available journal information available on the internet. The database presently contains 100101 titles. Journal information includes the description (aims and scope), journal abbreviation, journal homepage link, subject category and ISSN. Searching this information allows the rapid identification of potential journals to publish your research in, as well as allow you to find new journals of interest to your field.

Polish Scholarly Bibliography

Polish Scholarly Bibliography (PBN) is a portal of the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education, collecting information on publications of Polish scientists and Polish and foreign scholarly journals. PBN is a part of POL-on - The System of Information on Higher Education.

Electronic Journals Library

The Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB (Electronic Journals Library) offers an effective use of both scientific and academic journals publishing full text articles in the internet.

This service has been developed at the Universitätsbibliothek Regensburg (University Library of Regensburg) in cooperation with the Universitätsbibliothek der Technischen Universität München (University Library of the Technical University of Munich).

Zeitschriftendatenbank

The ZDB is the world's largest specialized database for serial titles (journals, annuals, newspapers etc., incl. e-journals). The ZDB-network is managed by the Staatsbibliothek zu Berlin; the database is held on a server of the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek.

The ZDB actually contains more than 1.6 million bibliographic records of serials from the 16th century onwards, from all countries, in all languages, held in 4.300 German and Austrian libraries, with 11.5 million holdings information. It does not contain contents, i. e. journal articles.

EZB

The Electronic Journals Library was founded in 1997 by the University Library of Regensburg in co-operation with the Technische Universität München University Library within the framework of a project. The aim of this project was to present e-journals to the library users in a clearly arranged user-interface and to create for the EZB member libraries an efficient administration tool for e-journal licences.

Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin

The WZB Berlin Social Research Center conducts basic research with a focus on problems of modern societies in a globalized world. The research is theory-based, problem-oriented, often long-term and mostly based on international comparisons.

Perceived self-efficacy in teamwork and entrepreneurship in university students. A gender study

Jesús Enrique Peinado Pérez, María del Carmen Zueck Enríquez, Gabriel Gastélum Cuadras, Yunuen Rangel Ledezma, Humberto Blanco Vega*

Faculty of Physical Culture Sciences, Autonomous University of Chihuahua, Chihuahua, México

Email address:

hblanco@uach.mx (H. B. Vega)

To cite this article:

Jesús Enrique Peinado Pérez, María del Carmen Zueck Enríquez, Gabriel Gastélum Cuadras, Yunuen Rangel Ledezma, Humberto Blanco Vega. Perceived Self-Efficacy in Teamwork and Entrepreneurship in University Students. A Gender Study. *Science Journal of Education*. Vol. 4, No. 1, 2015, pp. 1-5. doi: 10.11648/j.sjedu.20150301.11

Abstract: The purpose of the present study was comparing the profiles of perceived self-efficacy in teamwork and entrepreneurship between men and women university students. A total sample of 2,089 participants, 902 women and 1,187 men, aged 17-20 years participated in this study. All the freshmen university students from each degree offered by the Autonomous University of Chihuahua (Mexico) conformed the sample. In order to carry out the study it was used a quantitative approach with a descriptive and transversal survey design. All the participants completed the Self-efficacy Teamwork and Entrepreneurship Scale. Statistically, the results of the one-way multivariate analysis of variance, followed by the one-way univariate analyses of variance, showed that women have a significant ($p < .05$) and better perceived self-efficacy in teamwork than men, in the desired self-efficacy and reachable self-efficacy, but statistically in the possibility for improving self-efficacy perceived significant differences were not found ($p > .05$). Regarding the entrepreneurship factor, females results showed a significant greater desire and reachable self-efficacy than men ($p < .05$). However, for all the other variables statistically significant, differences were not found ($p > .05$). Thanks to differences found between men and women in their own perception of self-efficacy, it's suggested that in order to design an intervention to improve the perceived self-efficacy of the students, the variable gender should be taken into account.

Keywords: Student's Beliefs, Gender Differences, Higher Education, Academic Performance, Student Characteristics

1. Introduction

The behavior of the people, according to Bandura [1], can be better predicted by the beliefs that individuals have about their own capabilities, since these perceptions contribute to outline what people do with the skills and knowledge they have [2, 3]. This self-perception, called self-efficacy, has a deeply influence on the choice of tasks and activities, in the effort and perseverance of people when face certain challenges and even experiencing emotional reactions to difficult situations [4]. In short, self-efficacy beliefs represent a cognitive mechanism that is between knowledge and action, and defining it along with other variables, the success of one's actions [5, 6].

As an example of the importance of self-efficacy in academic settings, we can say that this reveals why people with the same level of skill and knowledge have behaviors and/or different results, or why people act in dissonance with

their skills [7, 8]. This is because the appropriate academic performance also depends on the perceived efficacy to manage academic demands successfully. Therefore, self-efficacy beliefs in one's ability are essential to master academic activities; as students who trust their abilities are more motivated to achieve their goals [9]. Likewise, people who doubt their capabilities can believe that things are more difficult than they really are a belief that generates stress, depression and a narrow view to solve problems [4]. It has been shown that a low level of self-efficacy can be responsible, not only for declining school performance and interest in the study, but also youth people don't modify their behaviors, hence the importance of education to strengthen the development of academic competence in students and encourage skills that enable believe in their own abilities [5, 6].

According to the results of previous research studies [10-13], it seems that the gender differences in the perceived self-efficacy may be due to the different ways in which men

and women experience each of the four sources of the self-efficacy. In this line, considering the achievements of earlier performance as one of the most important sources of self-efficacy, it can be seen that boys are exposed to a wider range of experiences in a greater number of areas outside the home, while girls are traditionally exposed to a greater number of experiences inside the home. Based on a process of differential gender socialization, this differential exposure to each of the sources of self-efficacy might allow women to develop a lower self-efficacy than men for typically masculine tasks. Hence, the gender differences in the academic motivation can be better explained by the beliefs that students have about their own attributes of their gender than by inherent variables to the gender itself.

In this line, previous studies found that the self-efficacy beliefs act as moderators of the gender differences in the degree choices, courses, and occupations [14, 15]. Therefore, unlike men who show consistency in their estimates of self-efficacy, women tend to have lower perceptions of traditionally masculine occupations than of those that are traditionally female's [16]. Unfortunately, to our knowledge there are no studies examining the influence of gender in teamwork and entrepreneurship. Consequently, the purpose of the present study was to compare the profiles of perceived self-efficacy in teamwork and entrepreneurship between men and women university students. The results of the present study might provide knowledge that would help to find out how to promote educational interventions within an educational perspective for attention to the diversity in the classroom.

2. Method

2.1. Participants and Design

Table 1. Subject distribution according to academic field and gender.

Academic Field	Gender		Total
	Female	Male	
Physical Education	81	214	295
Education and Liberal Arts	96	72	168
Health Sciences	121	108	229
Administration and Social Sciences	176	124	300
Political Sciences	200	89	289
Engineering and Technology	143	449	592
Farming Sciences	85	131	216
Total	902	1,187	2,089

A sample of 2,089 university students, 902 women and 1,187 men, aged 17-20 years ($M = 18.23$; $SD = .74$) participated in the present study. The sample was aswered by all the freshmen university students from each degree offered by the Autonomous University of Chihuahua (Mexico). A convenience sampling was used in order to try to cover the representation of all the degrees (Table 1). Regarding the design of the study, a quantitative approach with a descriptive and transversal survey design was used [17]. The independent variable was gender (women and men) and the dependent

variables were the mean scores in the four scenarios in both teamwork and entrepreneurship.

2.2. Instrument

Self-efficacy in teamwork and entrepreneurship was measured by the Self-efficacy Teamwork and Entrepreneurship Scale [18]. This questionnaire consists on a 16-item scale with two subscales: teamwork (8 items) and entrepreneurship (8 items). According to previous studies [3, 19], in the present study a Likert-type scale from 0 to 10 was chosen for the present study due to the fact that in the Mexican academic context students are commonly assessed by a scale from 0 to 10,. For each domain (item) of the teamwork and entrepreneurship competences (subscales), the participants were asked about how capable they feel, how much interest they have, and if they would make an effort to change how capable they will be to... Therefore, all the participants responded to each of the 16 items of the questionnaire in the three different scenarios: (a) Scenario of perceived ability, responding in the context "how capable I feel to... to manage in each of the domains of the competences above mentioned"; (b) Scenario of interest in being able, responding in the context "how much interest I have in being able to... to manage in each of the domains of the competences above mentioned"; and (c) Scenario of change to be able to, responding within the context "if I would make an effort to change, how capable I will be able to... to manage in each of the domains of the competences above mentioned".

When calculating the scores for both dimensions, problem solving and scientific communication, four different values were calculated: (1) Perceived self-efficacy, obtained from the average scores in the scenario of perceived ability; (2) Desired self-efficacy, calculated from the average scores in the scenario of interest of being able; (3) Reachable self-efficacy, obtained from the mean scores in the scenario of being able; and (4) Possibility of improvement in the perceived self-efficacy, calculated from the mean difference between reachable self-efficacy and perceived self-efficacy. A higher score indicates greater self-efficacy, whereas a lower score represents lesser self-determination. The Self-efficacy Teamwork and Entrepreneurship Scale demonstrated adequate psychometric properties ($GFI = .859$; $RMSEA = .096$; Cronbach coefficient alphas = .916 and .932 for teamwork and entrepreneurship, respectively) [18]. Finally, the internal consistency reliability of the teamwork factor was very high: perceived self-efficacy .915 (.909-.920), desired self-efficacy .923 (.918-.928) and reachable self-efficacy .931 (.926-.935).

2.3. Procedure

All the freshmen university students from each degree offered by the Autonomous University of Chihuahua in the semester January-June of 2012 were invited to participate in the present study. These university students were fully informed about all the features of the project. Then, all the students that had agreed to participate were asked to sign a

written inform consent. After the students' approvals were obtained, participants completed the above mentioned questionnaire by means of the instrument module administrator of the Scales Editor Version 2.0 [20].

Participants completed the questionnaire in the computer rooms of their faculties during a session. At the beginning of the session the researchers gave a general introduction about the importance of the research and how to access the questionnaire thought the software. When the participants were in the editor, the instructions about how to fill out the questionnaire correctly appeared before the instrument. Additionally, the participants were advised to ask for help if confused concerning either the instructions or the clarity of a particular item. Completion of the entire questionnaire took approximately 30 minutes. At the end of the session their participation was welcomed. Afterward, when all the participants completed the questionnaire, the data were collected by means of the results generator module of the Scales Editor Version 2.0 [20].

2.4. Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics (means and standard deviations) for all the variables were calculated. Subsequently, after verifying that the data met the assumptions of parametric statistical analyses, a one-way multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA), followed by the one-way univariate analysis of variance (ANOVA), were used to examine the differences

between men and women in both, reported self-efficacy in teamwork and entrepreneurship scores. Moreover, the effect size was estimated using the eta-squared (η^2). The internal consistency reliability of the each variable was estimated using the Cronbach coefficient alphas (ICC) and the 95% confidence intervals (CI). All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS version 20.0 for Windows (IBM® SPSS® Statistics 20). The statistical significance level was set at $p < .05$.

3. Results

3.1. Teamwork Factor

Table 2 shows the mean values and standard deviations of the self-efficacy in teamwork, as well as the results of the MANOVA and the follow-up univariate ANOVAs. The MANOVA results indicated overall statistical significant differences between genders in the self-efficacy in teamwork scores (Wilks' $\lambda = .987$; $p = .01$; $\eta^2 = .013$). Afterward, statistically the follow-up ANOVAs showed that women have a significant and better perceived self-efficacy in teamwork than men ($F1 = 13.711$; $p < .001$), in the desired self-efficacy ($F1 = 25.186$; $p < .001$) and reachable self-efficacy ($F1 = 23.676$; $p < .001$). However, statistically in the possibility for improving self-efficacy perceived significant differences were not found ($p > .05$).

Table 2. MANOVA results for gender differences in the four self-efficacy indexes for the teamwork factor.

	Women (n = 902)	Men (n = 1187)	F	p	η^2
			9.053	.01	.013
Perceived self-efficacy	8.02 (1.22)	7.81 (1.36)	13.711	<.001	.007
Desired self-efficacy	8.85 (1.11)	8.58 (1.28)	25.186	<.001	.012
Reachable self-efficacy	9.10 (0.97)	8.87 (1.12)	23.676	<.001	.011
Possibility for improving perceived self-efficacy	1.08 (0.77)	1.06 (0.87)	0.163	.686	.000

Note. Descriptive values are reported as mean (standard deviation)

3.2. Entrepreneurship Factor

Table 3 shows the mean values and standard deviations of the self-efficacy in entrepreneurship, as well as the results of the MANOVA and the follow-up univariate ANOVAs. The MANOVA results indicated overall statistical significant differences between genders in the self-efficacy in entrepreneurship scores (Wilks' $\lambda = .994$; $p = .006$; $\eta^2 = .006$). Afterward, statistically the follow-up ANOVAs showed that women present reported have a significant and greater desire

and reachable self-efficacy in entrepreneurship than men ($F1 = 9.767$; $p = .002$, and $F1 = 6.680$; $p = .009$, respectively). However, statistically significant differences in the perceived self-efficacy and the possibility to improve self-efficacy were not found ($p > .05$). Finally, the internal consistency reliability of the entrepreneurship factor was very high: perceived self-efficacy .929 (.924-.934), desired self-efficacy .933 (.929-.937) and reachable self-efficacy .938 (.934-.942).

Table 3. MANOVA results for gender differences in the four self-efficacy indexes for the entrepreneurship factor.

	Women (n = 902)	Men (n = 1187)	F	p	η^2
			4.055	.006	.006
Perceived self-efficacy	7.82 (1.36)	7.75 (1.38)	1.337	.248	.001
Desired self-efficacy	8.83 (1.16)	8.66 (1.25)	9.767	.002	.005
Reachable self-efficacy	9.03 (1.04)	8.90 (1.08)	6.680	.009	.003
Possibility for improving perceived self-efficacy	1.21 (0.92)	1.16 (0.93)	1.673	.196	.001

Note. Descriptive values are reported as mean (standard deviation)

4. Discussion and Conclusions

The purpose of the present study was to compare the profiles of perceived self-efficacy in teamwork and entrepreneurship between men and women university students.

The teamwork factor refers basically to be able to respect and be flexible to tolerate divergent thinking to reach agreement by consensus; interact in multidisciplinary groups; identify potential leadership skills and group development and participate in the development and implementation of plans and projects through teamwork. As regards the results of this study, though no differences in the possibility for improving self-efficacy between men and women were found, women perceived themselves with greater perceived, desired and reachable self-efficacy in teamwork than the men.

Furthermore, the entrepreneurship factor refers to being able to demonstrate capacity to generate employment and self-employment; link academic environment with the work environment; create and innovate; best use of existing resources and use the principles of strategic management in the development of projects. As regards the results of this study, though no differences in the perceived and the possibility to improve self-efficacy between men and women were found, women perceived themselves with greater desire and reachable self-efficacy in entrepreneurship than men.

Saunders, Davis, Williams, and Williams [21] carried out a research study on the differences in academic self-efficacy between boys and girls among high school students. Similarly to the results of the current study, these authors found that girls presented higher levels of academic self-efficacy than men and greater desires of finishing their studies. On the other hand, in addition to the results obtained in this research, over the last few years a few theoretical bases have served as a framework to explain the vocational behavior of men and women. Among these theories, the Bandura's [22] theory of self-efficacy should be highlighted, according to which the self-efficacy expectations are one of the main determinants of gender differences in the academic and professional decisions-making. These differences are the result of the socialization process that leads men and women to have a different perception about the tasks, activities, studies and occupations that are most appropriate for each gender. Therefore, under the implicit assumption that the promotion of self-efficacy will serve as a vehicle for improving other positive outcomes such as the academic achievement and self-esteem, improving the perception of being able to learn is a valuable educational objective. Additionally, it should be taken into account that the continued sense of failure reduces the success expectations and it does not favor any form of either personal learning or personal development [23].

In conclusion, the main finding of the present study is that, women perceived themselves with greater perceived, desired and reachable self-efficacy in teamwork than men. Additionally, the women perceived themselves with greater desired and reachable self-efficacy in entrepreneurship than

the men. These differences found between men and women regarding their perceptions of self-efficacy suggest that when designing any kind of educational intervention aimed at the improvement of self-efficacy, the gender of the individual should be taken into account. The results of the present study might provide knowledge that helps to find out how to promote educational interventions within an educational perspective for attention to the diversity in the classroom. Finally, the importance of carrying out future research studies about this topic among Mexican students in order to expand the knowledge in this field should be highlighted.

Acknowledgements

This study is part of a project funded by the Secretaría de Educación Pública-Subsecretaría de Educación Superior-Dirección General de Educación Superior Universitaria de México [Mexican Ministry of Education-Department of Higher Education-General Directorate of the University Education] (OF-13-6894).

References

- [1] A. Bandura, *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*, Freeman, New York, 1997.
- [2] D.A. Adeyemo, Moderating influence of emotional intelligence on the link between academic self-efficacy and achievement of university students, *Psychology Developing Societies*, Vol. 19, pp. 199-213, 2007.
- [3] H. Blanco, M. Martínez, M.d.C. Zueck, G. Gastélum, Análisis psicométrico de la escala autoeficacia en conductas académicas en universitarios de primer ingreso, *Actualidades Investigativas en Educación*, Vol. 11, pp. 1-27, 2011.
- [4] M. Vera, M. Salanova, B. Martín-del-Río, Self-efficacy among university faculty: How to develop an adjusted scale, *Anales de Psicología*, Vol. 27, pp. 800-807, 2011.
- [5] M.Á. Carbonero, E. Merino, Autoeficacia y madurez vocacional, *Psicothema*, Vol. 16, pp. 229-234, 2008.
- [6] M. Ornelas, H. Blanco, J.M. Rodríguez, F.J. Flores, Análisis psicométrico de la escala autoeficacia en conductas de cuidado de la salud física en universitarios de primer ingreso, *Formación Universitaria*, Vol. 4, pp. 21-34, 2011.
- [7] A. Bandura, Self-efficacy mechanism inhuman agency, *American Psychologist*, Vol. 37, pp. 122-147, 1982.
- [8] E. Pérez, C. Lescano, D. Heredia, P. Zalazar, L. Furlám, M. Martínez, Desarrollo y análisis psicométricos de un inventario de autoeficacia para inteligencias múltiples en niños argentinos *Psicoperspectivas*, Vol. 10, pp. 169-189, 2011.
- [9] Á. Blanco, Creencias de autoeficacia de estudiantes universitarios: Un estudio empírico sobre la especificidad del constructo, *RELIEVE*, Vol. 16, pp. 1-28, 2010.
- [10] C. Asbún, Y. Ferreira, Autoeficacia profesional y género en adolescentes de cuarto de secundaria de la zona sur de la ciudad de la paz, *Revista Ajayu*, 2004.

- [11] N.E. Betz, G. Hackett, A self-efficacy approach to the career development of women, *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, Vol. 18, pp. 326-339, 1981.
- [12] G. Hackett, Self-efficacy in career choice and development, in: A. Bandura (Ed.) *Self-efficacy in changing societies*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1995, pp. 232-258.
- [13] F. Pajares, Self-efficacy beliefs in academic settings, *Review of Educational Research*, Vol. 66, pp. 543-578, 2001.
- [14] F.H. Borgen, N.E. Betz, Career self-efficacy and personality: Linking career confidence and the healthy personality, *Journal of Career Assessment*, Vol. 16, pp. 22-43, 2008.
- [15] F.H. Borgen, N.E. Betz, Integrating self through personality, interests, and self- efficacy, in: P.J. Hartung, L.M. Subich (Eds.) *Developing self in work and career: Concepts, cases, and contexts*, APA Books, Washington, DC, 2011, pp. 141-160.
- [16] N.E. Betz, The career development of women, in: F. Denmark, M.A. Paludi (Eds.) *Psychology of women: A handbook of issues and theories*, Greenwood Press, Westport, CT, 2007, pp. 717-752.
- [17] R. Hernández, C. Fernández, P. Baptista, *Metodología de la investigación*, McGraw- Hill, México, 2010.
- [18] G. Gastélum, J.C. Guedea, J. Vicianá, J.E. Peinado, Composición factorial de una escala de autoeficacia en el ámbito del trabajo en equipo y liderazgo en universitarios de ciencias de la salud, *Formación Universitaria*, Vol. 5, pp. 49-60, 2012.
- [19] J. Vicianá, E.M. Cervelló, J. Ramírez, Effects of manipulating positive and negative feedback on goal orientation, perceived motivational climate, satisfaction, task choice, perception of ability, and attitude to physical education lessons, *Perceptual and motor skills*, Vol. 105, pp. 67-82, 2007.
- [20] H. Blanco, M. Ornelas, J.L. Tristán, A. Cocca, D. Mayorga-Vega, J. López-Walle, J. Vicianá, Editor for creating and applying computerise surveys, *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, Vol. 106, pp. 935-940, 2013.
- [21] J. Saunders, L. Davis, T. Williams, J.H. Williams, Gender differences in self perceptions and academic outcomes: A study of african-american high school students, *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, Vol. 33, pp. 81-90, 2004.
- [22] A. Bandura, *Autoeficacia: Como afrontamos los cambios de la sociedad actual*, Desclée de Brouwer, S. A., Madrid, 1999.
- [23] M. Ornelas, H. Blanco, G. Gastélum, A. Chávez, Autoeficacia percibida en la conducta académica de estudiantes universitarias, *Formación Universitaria*, Vol. 5, pp. 17-26, 2012.